

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,469		03/31/2004	Keiji Kashima	A-474	8406
802	7590	01/25/2006		EXAMINER	
DELLETT	`& WA]	LTERS	VU, PHU		
P. O. BOX 82788 PORTLAND, OR 97282-0788				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2871	2871
				DATE MAILED: 01/25/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Astinus Community	10/816,469	MORIYA					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Phu Vu	2871					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		·					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
<u> </u>	action is non-final.						
<u> </u>	<del>-</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ır.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	0) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S	see 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list.	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been recei u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)		torical section is the section of th					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:						

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

Examiner acknowledges translation of applicant's foreign priority document which invalidates Miyachi 6885421 under 35 USC 102(e), however, a new rejection has been made in view of Miyachi 2003/0169390.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 9-10 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyachi et. al US Patent No. 2003016390 in view of Uchiyama et al. US Patent No. 6638582.

Regarding claim 1 and 2 and 10, Miyachi discloses a laminated retardation layer by lamination of a positive index anisotropy with an optic axis in a to a layer plane ([0095]) and a retardation layer negative index anisotropy and an optical axis in a normal direction to the layer plane ([0097]). Miyachi does not explicitly teach the positive index anisotrophy material to have inverse chromatic dispersion however applicant admits that a polycarbonate film having a fluorene skeleton has inverse chromatic dispersion. Uchiyama discloses a stretched polycarbonate polymer films with fluorene skeletons with excellent transparency, heat resistance, and productivity, which by applicant's admission will have inverse chromatic dispersion (column 9 lines 20-30)

Application/Control Number: 10/816,469

Art Unit: 2871

and column 9 line 67). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a polycarbonate film with fluorene skeleton to provide excellent transparency and heat resistance.

Regarding claim 9 with respect to claims 1 and 2, the limitation of the coating layer has a negative index of refraction and has axis normal to the plane was already claimed in claim 1 therefore this limitation has already been met.

Regarding claim 11, Miyachi discloses a vertical alignment mode liquid crystal layer and two sheet polarizers on both sides thereof, wherein a laminated retarder of claim 1 is interposed between one of the sheet polarizers and the liquid crystal cell (see cover fig).

Claim 3, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyachi and Uchiyama in view of Ishii US Patent No 5134507.

Regarding claim 3, Miyachi discloses all the limitations of claim 3 except a stretched cellulose acetate film as the polymer film having inverse chromatic dispersion. Ishii discloses an optically compensating plate comprised of a cellulose acetate film to achieve a high contrast ratio (see abstract and column 8 lines 1-10). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, to use a cellulose acetate film to achieve a high contrast ratio.

Regarding claim 9 with respect to 3, the limitation of the coating layer has a negative index of refraction and has axis normal to the plane was already claimed in claim 1 therefore this limitation has already been met.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,469

Art Unit: 2871

Page 4

Regarding claim 10 with respect to claim 3, these limitations were previously met in the claim 1 rejection.

Claims 4 - 6 and 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyachi and Uchiyama (A) US Patent No. 6638582 in view of Uchiyama (B) US Patent No. 6800697.

Regarding claims 4 and 6, Uchiyama B discloses aromatic polyester polymers such as polycarbonates provide good heat resistance, film forming properties and optical characteristics (column 9 lines 20-30 and column 9 line 67). Uchiyama A, discloses mixture of retardation films having different chromatic dispersions to form a film having inverse chromatic dispersion which contribute to enhanced image quality (see fig. 9 shows a film having inverse chromatic dispersion and column 3 lines 45-51). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a mixture of polyester polymers to control wavelength dispersion thus contributing to enhanced image quality.

Regarding claim 5, Uchiyama A discloses a polymer comprising a copolymer containing monomer units capable of yielding polymers having different chromatic dispersions and stretching said polymer to form a polymer with inverse chromatic dispersion (see column 3 line 65 – column 4 line 15) that has excellent retardation properties (see column 3 line 10). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply inverse chromatic dispersion to form retarders with an excellent retardation property.

Regarding claim 9 with respect to 4 and 5, the limitation of the coating layer has a negative index of refraction and has axis normal to the plane was already claimed in claim 1 therefore this limitation has already been met.

Regarding claim 10 with respect to claims 4 and 5, these limitations were previously met in the claim 1 rejection.

Claims 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyachi and Uchiyama in view of Matsuoka et al. US Patent No. 6444280.

Regarding claim 7, Miyachi and Uchiyama disclose all the limitations of claim 7 except a polymerizable chiral nematic used as the coating layer in a retardation film. Matsuoka discloses a retardation film comprising polymerizable chiral nematic liquid crystal to improve image quality and viewing angle characteristics (see abstract). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a polymerizable chiral nematic liquid crystal in a retarder to improve image quality and viewing characteristics.

Regarding claim 8, Miyachi and Uchiyama disclose all the limitations of claim 8 except a polymerizable discotic liquid crystal of homeotropic orientation layer in a coating layer of the retarder. Matsuoka discloses polymerizable discotic liquid crystal having a homeotropic orientation (see column 1 line 50 – column 2 line 9) to achieve optical compensation characteristics due to a large birefringence. Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a polymerizable discotic liquid crystal of homeotropic alignment to achieve retardation characteristics due to a large birefringence.

Regarding claim 9 with respect to claims 7 and 8, the limitation of the coating layer has a negative index of refraction and has axis normal to the plane was already claimed in claim 1 therefore this limitation has already been met.

Regarding claim 10 with respect to claims 7 and 8, these limitations were previously met in the claim 1 rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phu Vu whose telephone number is (571)-272-1562. The examiner can normally be reached on 8AM-5PM M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (571)-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/816,469

Art Unit: 2871

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phu Vu Examiner AU 2871

In Lillus
NDŘEW SCHECHTER
RDIMARY FXAMINER